

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,636	09/28/2000	Gary Dan Dotson	00AB151	8215
7590 01/27/2005		EXAMINER		
Allen-Bradley Company Inc			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
John J Horn Patent Dept 704P Floor 8 T 29			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1201 South Second Street Milwaukee, WI 53204			2674	
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/672,636	DOTSON, GARY DAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
_		Kimnhung Nguyen	2674			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S	eptember 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	<del></del>	ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/672,636

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This application has been examined. The claims 1-29 are pending. The examination results are as following.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaffstein et al. (US 6140,994) in view of Fleming et al. (US patent 4,439,759).

Regarding claim 1, Schaffstein et al. discloses in figures 1 and 3 that a video controller for interfacing a frame buffer (26) to a display in a computer system comprising a raster engine (see Raster Operator code 80 and display screen 30) adapted to receive video data from the frame buffer (30) to format the video data and to render the formatted data to the display (see figure 1), and having a plurality of pixels (32) on the display. However, Schaffstein et al. does not disclose a selectively remap the video data, and means for selectively blinking at least one pixel on the display operatively associated with the raster engine. Fleming et al. discloses in figures 2, 4, 7 and 9-11, a display system having a selectively remap (see set color mode to 0, to 1 and to 2, fig. 4, and the address of grey scale, fig. 7), and means for selectively blink (see particular blink process, see figures 9-11, column 9, lines 33-44 and column 10, lines 8-60) at least one pixel (210) on the display (7, see figure 2, column 3, lines 8-12). It would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 09/672,636 Page 3

Art Unit: 2674

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement of using of a selectively remap and selective blink as taught by Fleming et al. into the system of Schaffstein et al. having frame buffer because this would provide to all the value of the colors are given by the binary results.

#### Reasons For Allowance

- 3. Claims 1-28 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the cited teaches or suggests that a video controller for interfacing a frame buffer to a display in a computer system comprising a hardware blink logic system operatively associated with the raster engine to selectively blink at least on pixel on the display; wherein formatting the video data comprises selectively remapping the video data to a format appropriate for interfacing with a selected one of a plurality of display device types as claim 1; or a raster engine adapted to receive video data from the frame buffer, to format and selectively remap the video data, and to render the formatted and selectively remapped data to the display as claim 15.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Response To Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 9/13/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,636

Art Unit: 2674

Applicant argues that neither Schaffstein et al. nor Fleming et al. teaches or suggests that the selectively remap of the video data. Examiner respectfully disagrees with the arguments because Fleming et al. discloses the selectively remap of the video data (see fig. 4 and 7) as discussed above. For these reasons the rejections are maintained.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Page 5

Art Unit: 2674

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen January 24, 2005 ALEXANDÉR EISEN PRIMARY EXAMINER